

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Southern District of California

SEALED

Unsealed 06/16/22

In the Matter of the Search of

*(Briefly describe the property to be searched
or identify the person by name and address)*

The Constant Company, LLC (d.b.a. Vultr)
319 Clematis Street, Suite 900, West Palm Beach,
Florida 33401

Case No. '22 MJ2199

APPLICATION FOR A WARRANT BY TELEPHONE OR OTHER RELIABLE ELECTRONIC MEANS

I, a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government, request a search warrant and state under penalty of perjury that I have reason to believe that on the following person or property *(identify the person or describe the property to be searched and give its location)*:

See Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference.

located in the Southern District of Florida, there is now concealed *(identify the person or describe the property to be seized)*:

See Attachment B, incorporated herein by reference.

The basis for the search under Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(c) is *(check one or more)*:

- evidence of a crime;
- contraband, fruits of crime, or other items illegally possessed;
- property designed for use, intended for use, or used in committing a crime;
- a person to be arrested or a person who is unlawfully restrained.

The search is related to a violation of:

<i>Code Section</i>	<i>Offense Description</i>
18, USC sec. 371	conspiracy
18 USC sec. 1030	computer fraud

The application is based on these facts:

See Attached Affidavit of F.B.I. Special Agent Charles Chabalko, incorporated herein by reference.

- Continued on the attached sheet.
- Delayed notice of _____ days *(give exact ending date if more than 30 days: _____)* is requested under 18 U.S.C. § 3103a, the basis of which is set forth on the attached sheet.

Charles W. Chabalko

Applicant's signature

Special Agent Charles Chabalko, F.B.I.

Printed name and title

Attested to by the applicant in accordance with the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 4.1 by
_____ telephone *(specify reliable electronic means)*.

Date: 06/14/2022

Mitchell D. Dembin

Judge's signature

City and state: San Diego, California

Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title

ATTACHMENT A

The Constant Company, LLC (d.b.a. Vultr), is a Managed Hosting Services Provider that provides Internet-based computing services, including dedicated servers, cloud computing services, virtual storage solutions, virtual private servers, and data center solutions located, at 319 Clematis Street, Suite 900, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401.

ATTACHMENT B

I. Service of Warrant

The officer executing the warrant shall permit The Constant Company, LLC (d.b.a. Vultr) (“Vultr”), as custodian of the computer files described in Section II below, to locate the files and copy them onto removable electronic storage media and deliver the same to the officer.

II. Items to be disclosed by Vultr from the account(s) associated with the following IP addresses during the specified timeframes:

- IP Address 95.179.244.201 (from June 14, 2021 19:33:03 UTC to June 13, 2022 05:55:55 UTC)
- IP Address 45.63.42.37 (from June 14, 2021 19:33:03 UTC to June 13, 2022 05:55:55 UTC)

1. All records associated with the aforementioned account(s) including:

- a) Names (including subscriber names, usernames, account names, and/or display names);
- b) Addresses (including any postal addresses and/or physical addresses);
- c) Telephone Numbers (including any telephone numbers assigned to or associated with the account(s));
- d) Session Logs (including session times and durations, Internet Protocol ("IP") addresses and any metadata or device information associated with such events);
- e) Event Logs (including access logs and event logs demonstrating specific user interactions and events);
- f) Service Information (including account type, length of service, account creation Internet Protocol ("IP") address and types of products and/or services utilized);
- g) Billing Information (including means and source of payment associated with the account(s), any associated credit card numbers, bank account numbers, or other payment instruments);

- h) Associated Account(s) (including accounts associated with the subject account by cookie, IP address, telephone number, advertising identifiers, device identifiers, and/or recovery identifiers);
- i) Associated Groups and Organizations (including records pertaining to any groups, organizations or other provider specific membership functionalities);
- j) Linked Applications and API Keys (including any provider specific or third party applications or integrations authorized to interact with the account and all API keys generated by the subject account(s));
- k) Single Sign On ("SSO") Information (including any Open Authorization ("OAuth") tokens, SSO tokens, or other provider specific tokens utilized by subject account(s) and all associated information and session data).

2. All contents and communications associated with the aforementioned account(s) including:

- a) Profile Content (including any user generated details and/or images associated with subject account(s));
- b) Notification Details (including type, timestamp, content and all other associated information);
- c) Contacts (including contact lists, friend lists, follower lists following lists, and any other provider specific lists of contacts or relationships between contacts or individuals);
- d) Files (including all images, videos, documents and other files contained within the account(s), including all associated cloud storage account(s), and all associated metadata and provider specific information);
- e) Communications (including any email messages, SMS messages, text messages, instant messages, comments, voice messages and any communications utilizing provider specific protocols and/or applications);
- f) Transferred / Shared Files (including any files sent, received, shared by or shared with the subject account(s));
- g) Provider Backups and/or Snapshots (including previous versions of account contents maintained as part of revision history or similar

- functionality, user deleted files retained by the provider, and all provider backups which contain account content(s));
 - h) Telemetry and/or Analytics Data (including data generated by devices or applications associated with the account(s));
 - i) Location History (including data generated by devices); and
 - j) Device Backups (including any additional information and metadata associated with such backup(s)).
3. All provider specific records, contents and communications associated with the aforementioned account(s) including:
- a) The contents of any Vultr account associated with the above account information, including dedicated servers, scalable cloud computing services, virtual private servers, custom cloud solutions and data center solutions, cloud computing for web applications and/or development environments, dedicated single-tenant non-virtualized computing hardware, cloud storage, object storage, block storage, dedicated cloud instances and cloud based load balancers, and any service request(s) information.
 - b) Any stored communications, transient records of communications, or associated messaging data associated with the above account information, including but not limited to, all digital, analogue, verbal, written, or visual communications.

III. The search of the data supplied by the ISP pursuant to this warrant will be conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as provided in the “Procedures for Electronically Stored Information” of the affidavit submitted in support of this search warrant, and the items subject to seizure by the government will be limited in time from **July 24, 2020, to the present** and be further limited to:

- a) Any and all records and information comprising, listing or containing the login names and passwords in any form;
- b) Any and all records and documents comprising identities of individuals, including names, social security numbers, dates of birth,

official state or government issued driver's licenses and/or identification numbers, alien registration numbers, government passport numbers, employer or taxpayer identification numbers, unique biometric data, such as fingerprint, voice print, retina or iris image, or other unique physical representation, unique electronic identification number, address, or routing code; or telecommunication identifying information or access device;

- c) Any and all items that would tend to identify persons who acted as facilitators or co-conspirators in acts of violations of Title 18 United States Code, Sections 1030, and 371, and related activity in connection with conspiracy and computer intrusions;
- d) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish unauthorized computer intrusions, unauthorized computer attacks, or unauthorized attempts to access a computer;
- e) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish the use of hacking tools, malicious files or software, or hacking methods and techniques that enable the user to gain unauthorized access to a computer;
- f) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish any payment for services or equipment used to establish, administer and/or operate a botnet;
- g) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish any payment for a botnet providing proxy services;
- h) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish the operation of the RSocks botnet and proxy service;
- i) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to identify or locate the user(s) of the subject accounts to be searched, as well as the users of electronic accounts and computers associated with the nicknames and names of any co-conspirators involved in the activities described in III(a)-(g) above;
- j) Any and all communications, records, and attachments that provide context to any communications, records, and attachments described above, such as electronic communications sent or received in temporal

proximity to any relevant electronic communications and any electronic communications tending to identify the user(s) of the accounts to be searched; and

- k) Data identifying other accounts linked to the subject account(s) by cookie values, SMS, Recovery, Android device, Apple device, other mobile device, secondary email, phone number, or IP address.

which are evidence of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1030 (unlawful access of a computer) and 371 (conspiracy).

1 cybercrimes, such as computer intrusions (commonly referred to as hacking),
2 Distributed Denial of Service attacks, Internet fraud and the use of malicious code. I
3 have received training in conducting such cyber-based investigations, as well as training
4 covering, among other things, hacker techniques and cyber security. Based on this
5 training and experience, and in consultation with other special agents and supervisors
6 with decades of experience, I am familiar with the manner in which persons engaged in
7 cybercrimes operate; the manner in which cybercrimes are perpetrated; certain
8 techniques, methods, or practices commonly used by persons engaged in cybercrime
9 activity; and indicia of cybercrime activity. This training and experience form the basis
10 for opinions I express below.

11 4. The facts set forth in this affidavit are based on my own personal
12 knowledge; knowledge obtained from other individuals during my participation in this
13 investigation, including other law enforcement officers; interviews of victims; my
14 review of documents and computer records related to this investigation;
15 communications with others who have personal knowledge of the events and
16 circumstances described herein; and information gained through my training and
17 experience. Because this affidavit is submitted for the limited purpose of establishing
18 probable cause in support of the application for a search warrant, it does not set forth
19 each and every fact that I or others have learned during the course of this investigation.

20 **Statement of Probable Cause**

21 **Background of Vultr**

22 5. Vultr is a Managed Hosting Services Provider with its corporate office
23 located at 319 Clematis Street, Suite 900, West Palm Beach, FL 33401, that provides
24 Internet-based computing services, including dedicated servers, cloud computing
25 services, virtual storage solutions, virtual private servers, and data center solutions.

26 6. As set forth below, the subjects of this investigation are using the **subject**
27 **account** to conduct the criminal activity.

28 //

1 **Overview of Scheme Under Investigation**

2 7. This investigation involves what is known as a botnet. A botnet is a group
3 of compromised or infected computers connected in a coordinated fashion and typically
4 used for malicious purposes. Each compromised computer or device in a botnet is
5 known as a bot and is infected or compromised without the owner's knowledge or
6 consent. The bots are controlled by one or more Command and Control (C2) servers
7 and can be used for a variety of nefarious purposes, including to transmit malware,¹ to
8 send spam email or phishing² campaigns, to launch cyber-attacks such as Distributed
9 Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks,³ to conduct large scale attacks against authentication
10 services, also known as credential stuffing, and to route or proxy Internet traffic to hide
11 or obfuscate its true source.

12 8. The botnet in this case is being used as a proxy service. An internet proxy
13 server is a computer that acts as an intermediary between two other computers: an
14 endpoint device and another computer from which a user or client is requesting a
15 service. When used for nefarious purposes, a proxy provides anonymity for the user and
16 allows the criminal to appear to come from inaccurate locations. Every device that
17 connects to the Internet (for example, a computer) has an IP address.⁴ A proxy is a
18 different IP address assigned to a different device (in this case belonging to an unwitting

19 _____
20 ¹ Short for "malicious software," malware refers to software applications designed
21 to damage or conduct other unwanted actions on a computer system. Common examples
22 of malware include viruses, worms, and trojans.

23 ² Phishing is a type of social engineering where an attacker sends a fraudulent
24 message designed to trick a person into revealing sensitive information to the attacker
25 or to deploy malicious software on the victim's infrastructure like ransomware,
26 typically by impersonating a trusted or known entity.

27 ³ A Distributed Denial of Service attack occurs when multiple systems flood the
28 bandwidth or resources of a targeted system, usually one or more web servers.

⁴ An Internet Protocol (IP) address is a unique series of numbers that identifies
computing devices connected to the Internet. Using IP addresses, it is possible to
determine, within limits, the physical locations of such devices. Knowledgeable
cybercriminals, however, often hide their true IP addresses and locations through a
variety of methods, including by using a proxy service.

1 third party, that is, a victim) that a user employs to hide himself. In this way, the user’s
2 Internet activity appears to come from the proxy IP address, not the user’s true IP
3 address. While there are legitimate security reasons to use a proxy service, proxies are
4 commonly used by cybercriminals to hide their identities.

5 9. In or about late 2016, the FBI opened an investigation into a proxy service
6 known as RSOCKS. A legitimate proxy service provides proxy IP addresses to its
7 clients for a fee. Typically, the proxy service provides access to IP addresses that it
8 leases from Internet service providers. Rather than offer proxies that RSOCKS has
9 leased, RSOCKS offers its clients access to IP addresses assigned to devices that have
10 been hacked; the owners of these devices have not given the RSOCKS operator(s)
11 authority to access their devices in order to use their IP addresses and route Internet
12 traffic.

13 10. Specifically, the RSOCKS botnet initially targeted Internet of Things
14 (“IoT”) devices, primarily running Linux-based operating systems. IoT devices include
15 a broad range of devices—including industrial control systems, wireless radio links,
16 time clocks, routers, audio/video streaming devices, Raspberry Pi micro-computers, and
17 smart garage door openers—that are connected to and can communicate over the
18 Internet. Because they are connected to the Internet, these devices are assigned
19 IP addresses. Since early 2018, the RSOCKS botnet has expanded into compromising
20 additional types of devices, including Android devices and conventional computers.

21 11. A cybercriminal who wants to utilize the RSOCKS platform can use a web
22 browser to navigate to the RSOCKS.net domain⁵ which resolves to a web-based
23 storefront.⁶ The website allows the customer to pay to rent access to a pool of proxies
24

25 ⁵ A domain name is a simple, easy-to-remember way for humans to identify
26 computers on the Internet, using a series of characters (e.g., letters, numbers, or other
27 characters) that correspond with a particular IP address. For example, “usdoj.gov” and
28 “usps.com” are domain names.

⁶ The term storefront simply refers to a public website that allows users to
purchase access to the botnet.

1 for a specified daily, weekly, or monthly time period. The cost for access to a pool of
2 RSOCKS proxies has historically ranged from \$30 per day for access to 2,000 proxies
3 to \$200 per day for access to 90,000 proxies. When a user navigates to the RSOCKS.net
4 domain name in their web browser, they are routed through the **subject account** to
5 access the storefront.

6 12. Once access is purchased, the customer can download a list of IP addresses
7 and ports associated to one or more of the botnet's C2 servers. Customers obtain this
8 information through the storefront website RSOCKS.NET and several additional
9 domains -- including RSDAILI.COM and RSNEW2.CN, which are Chinese-language
10 versions of the storefront -- all of which are hosted by the **subject account**. The **subject**
11 **account** also hosts other domains that facilitate the operation of the RSOCKS botnet,
12 including PROXY.LINK, RSDATAGATE.COM, and RS-PROXY.NET. For example,
13 the domains PROXY.LINK and RSDATAGATE.COM provide the lists of C2 IP
14 addresses and corresponding ports which enable customers of the botnet to route their
15 Internet traffic through the compromised victim devices to mask or hide the true source
16 of the traffic. For each proxy package purchased, the customer can connect to hundreds
17 of proxies simultaneously and auto-rotate through additional victims compromised
18 devices based on specified time intervals. Based on my training and experience, the
19 users of this type of proxy service are likely using it to enable large scale attacks against
20 authentication systems, commonly known as credential stuffing,⁷ which allow
21 cybercriminals to obtain access to legitimate users' online accounts, such as social
22 networking and email accounts, which are often used in furtherance of additional
23 criminal activity. Users may also use this type of proxy service to anonymize
24 themselves when sending malicious email, such as phishing messages or when utilizing

25 ⁷ Credential stuffing is a type of cyberattack in which the attacker collects stolen
26 account credentials, typically consisting of lists of usernames and/or email addresses
27 and the corresponding passwords (often from a data breach), and then uses the
28 credentials to gain unauthorized access to user accounts through large-scale automated
login requests directed against a web application.

1 compromised social media accounts and other technology platforms in order to subvert
2 identification by law enforcement.

3 13. In early 2017, the RSOCKS website advertised approximately 225,000
4 proxy nodes available to customers. Through undercover purchases, FBI investigators
5 obtained access to the RSOCKS botnet in order to identify its backend infrastructure
6 and its victims. By late January 2017, investigators had identified approximately 75,000
7 compromised victim devices throughout the world with numerous devices located
8 within San Diego County. Through analysis of victim devices, investigators determined
9 that the RSOCKS botnet compromises the victim device by conducting brute force
10 attacks.⁸ The RSOCKS C2 servers then maintain a persistent connection to the
11 compromised device. Based on investigative activity to date, investigators have
12 identified over 900,000 unique victim IP addresses/devices worldwide. Compromised
13 devices have included, but are not limited to, wireless radio links, time clocks,
14 networking equipment, audio/video streaming devices, Raspberry Pi micro-computers,
15 smart garage door openers, and many other IoT devices.

16 Victim Identification

17 14. Investigators have interviewed twelve RSOCKS botnet victims within
18 Southern California with six of the victims located in San Diego County. Several large
19 public and private entities have been victims of the RSOCKS botnet, including a
20 university, a hotel, a television studio, an electronics manufacturer, as well as home
21 businesses and individuals. All of the victims contacted advised investigators that they
22 did not give permission, consent, or authorization for remote access to their devices.
23 Two of the victims had previously been notified by their Internet Service Providers that
24 botnet activity was detected on their IP addresses. Several of the victims stated they
25 observed performance degradation of their compromised devices and could not identify

26 ⁸ A brute force attack is a trial-and-error method used to obtain information such
27 as a user password or personal identification number (PIN). In a brute force attack,
28 automated software is used to generate a large number of consecutive guesses as to the
value of the desired data.

1 the cause. At three of the victim locations, with consent, investigators replaced the
2 compromised devices with government-controlled computers (i.e., honeypots),⁹ and all
3 three were subsequently compromised by known RSOCKS C2 server IP addresses.

4 **Probable Cause as to the Subject Account and Prior Search**

5 15. Investigators conducted open-source queries of the RSOCKS.NET domain
6 and learned that the RSOCKS storefront RSOCKS.NET has been hosted by the **subject**
7 **account** since as early as August 2, 2019.

8 16. On July 22, 2020, Magistrate Judge Michael S. Berg authorized a search
9 of the **subject account** (20MJ2946), and agents executed that search warrant on July
10 24, 2020. The forensic analysis of data from the **subject account** confirmed the
11 connection to additional RSOCKS-related domains and services which operate botnet
12 infrastructure. Investigators confirmed that the primary purpose of the **subject account**
13 is to continue the operation of the RSOCKS storefronts and related botnet infrastructure.

14 17. Forensic analysis of data from the **subject account** resulted in
15 identification of detailed access logs which demonstrate an ongoing pattern of access to
16 the RSOCKS botnet storefront both by customers who subscribe to the botnet and
17 suspected administrators. Agents were also able to obtain log files which demonstrate
18 the ongoing maintenance of the botnet infrastructure.

19 18. On June 13, 2022, investigators conducted additional open-source queries
20 on the domain name RSDATAGATE.COM which was contained in data produced from
21 search warrant 20MJ2946 and confirmed that the domain name remained hosted on the
22 **subject account**.

23 19. Based on the foregoing, I believe there is probable cause to conclude that
24 the **subject account** hosts the RSOCKS storefront and other botnet infrastructure. I
25 believe that there is probable cause to conclude that evidence of the continued criminal
26

27 ⁹ A honeypot is a computer that is set up to act as a decoy to lure cyber attackers.
28 In this case, the investigators' computer was set up to act as (mimic) IoT devices that
were already compromised by the RSOCKS botnet.

1 activity will be found in the **subject account**, including evidence since July 24, 2020,
2 of the manner and means by which the botnet is operated, information regarding
3 additional domain names used to operate the botnet, evidence of ongoing maintenance
4 activity by the botnet operators, and the identity of conspirators.

5 **GENUINE RISKS OF DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE**

6 20. Based upon my experience and training, and the experience and training
7 of other agents with whom I have communicated, electronically stored data can be
8 permanently deleted or modified by users possessing basic computer skills. In this case,
9 only if the user of the **subject account** or a coconspirator with access to the **subject**
10 **account** receives advance warning of the execution of this warrant, will there be a
11 genuine risk of destruction of evidence. If this application and order are placed under
12 seal, I do not believe that the subject account user is likely to destroy evidence.

13 **PRIOR ATTEMPTS TO OBTAIN THIS EVIDENCE**

14 21. Other than as stated in this affidavit, I am unaware of prior attempts by
15 other federal law enforcement to obtain this data.

16 **PROCEDURES FOR ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION**

17 *Vultr*

18 22. Vultr (the “ISP”), a Managed Hosting Services Provider, provides on-
19 demand cloud computing platforms with computer information systems to their
20 subscribers. The Vultr cloud storage services allow subscribers to store electronic files
21 on Vultr servers. The Vultr subscribers access their services through the Internet.

22 23. Subscribers to Vultr electronic communication services use screen names
23 and/or account names during their electronic communications. The screen names may
24 or may not identify the real name of the person using a particular screen name.

25 24. At the creation of an ISP account and for each subsequent access to the
26 account, the ISP logs the IP address of the computer accessing the account. An IP
27 address is a unique address through which a computer connects to the Internet. IP
28 addresses are leased to businesses and individuals by Internet Service Providers.

1 Obtaining the IP addresses that have accessed a particular electronic account often
2 identifies the Internet Service Provider that owns and has leased that address to its
3 customer. Subscriber information for that customer then can be obtained using
4 appropriate legal process.

5 *Procedures for Electronically-Stored Information*

6 25. Federal agents and investigative support personnel are trained and
7 experienced in identifying communications relevant to the crimes under investigation.
8 The ISPs' personnel are not. It would be inappropriate and impractical for federal
9 agents to search the ISPs' vast computer network for the relevant accounts and then to
10 analyze the contents of those accounts on the ISPs' premises. The impact on each ISP's
11 business would be disruptive and severe.

12 26. Therefore, I request authority to seize all content, including electronic mail
13 and attachments, stored instant messages, stored voice messages, photographs, and any
14 other content from the subject ISP accounts, as described in Attachment B. In order to
15 accomplish the objective of the search warrant with a minimum of interference with the
16 ISPs' business activities, to protect the privacy of their subscribers whose accounts are
17 not authorized to be searched, and to effectively pursue this investigation, the FBI seeks
18 authorization to allow the ISP to make digital copies of the entire contents of the
19 accounts subject to seizure. Those copies will be provided to me or to an authorized
20 federal agent. The copy will be imaged and the image will then be analyzed to identify
21 communications and other electronic records subject to seizure pursuant to Attachment
22 B. Relevant electronic records will be copied to separate media. The original media
23 will be sealed and maintained to establish authenticity, if necessary.

24 27. Analyzing the data to be provided by the ISP may require special technical
25 skills, equipment, and software. It may also be time-consuming. Searching by
26 keywords, for example, often yields many thousands of "hits," each of which must be
27 reviewed in its context by the examiner to determine whether the data is within the
28 scope of the warrant. Merely finding a relevant "hit" does not end the review process.

1 Keyword searches do not capture misspelled words, reveal the use of coded language,
2 or account for slang or typographical errors. Keyword searches are further limited when
3 electronic records are in or use foreign languages. Certain file formats also do not lend
4 themselves to keyword searches. Keywords search text. Attachments to electronic mail
5 messages are often in proprietary formats that do not store data as searchable text.
6 Instead, such data is saved in a proprietary non-text format. And, as the volume of
7 storage allotted by service providers increases, the time it takes to properly analyze
8 recovered data increases dramatically. Internet Service Providers do not always
9 organize the electronic files they provide chronologically, which makes review even
10 more time consuming and may also require the examiner to review each page or record
11 for responsive material.

12 28. Based on the foregoing, searching the recovered data for the information
13 subject to seizure pursuant to this warrant may require a range of data analysis
14 techniques and may take weeks or even months. Keywords need to be modified
15 continuously based upon the results obtained and, depending on the organization,
16 format, and language of the records provided by the ISPs, examiners may need to review
17 each record to determine if it is responsive to Attachment B. The personnel conducting
18 the examination of the ISP's records will complete the analysis within ninety (90) days
19 of receipt of the data from the service provider, absent further application to this court.

20 29. Based upon my experience and training, and the experience and training
21 of other agents with whom I have communicated, it is necessary to review and seize all
22 electronic communications that identify any users of the subject account and any
23 electronic communications sent or received in temporal proximity to incriminating
24 messages that provide context to the incriminating communications.

25 30. All forensic analysis of the imaged data will employ search protocols
26 directed exclusively to the identification and extraction of data within the scope of this
27 warrant.

REQUEST FOR SEALING AND PRECLUSION OF NOTICE

1
2 31. At this time, I believe that if the subjects I am investigating were to learn
3 the FBI were investigating them, they would take steps to evade prosecution and arrest
4 and would also seek to destroy evidence. Accordingly, I request that this Affidavit,
5 Application, and Order be sealed until further order of the Court. In addition, pursuant
6 to Title 18, United States Code, § 2705(b), it is requested that this Court order the
7 electronic service provider to whom this warrant is directed not to notify anyone of the
8 existence of this warrant, other than its personnel essential to compliance with the
9 execution of this warrant, until **December 9, 2022**, absent further order of the Court.
10 The operators of the botnet reside abroad, including in Russia, and it is anticipated that
11 if the operators became aware of the intent to search the **subject account** they are likely
12 to attempt to destroy or alter data.

13 //
14 //
15 //
16 //
17 //
18 //
19 //
20 //
21 //
22 //
23 //
24 //
25 //
26 //
27 //
28 //

CONCLUSION

32. There is probable cause to believe that there have been violations of federal law, namely, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030 and 371, and the accounts to be searched as described in Attachment A, will contain records and data identified in Attachment B, including evidence of those crimes, as well as contraband, fruits of the crimes, things otherwise criminally possessed, and property used as a means of committing the crimes.

Charles W. Chabalko

Charles Chabalko
Special Agent
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Attested to by the applicant in accordance with the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 4.1 by telephone on this 14TH day of June, 2022.

Mitchell D. Dembin

Hon. MITCHELL D. DEMBIN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ATTACHMENT A

The Constant Company, LLC (d.b.a. Vultr), is a Managed Hosting Services Provider that provides Internet-based computing services, including dedicated servers, cloud computing services, virtual storage solutions, virtual private servers, and data center solutions located, at 319 Clematis Street, Suite 900, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401.

ATTACHMENT B

I. Service of Warrant

The officer executing the warrant shall permit The Constant Company, LLC (d.b.a. Vultr) (“Vultr”), as custodian of the computer files described in Section II below, to locate the files and copy them onto removable electronic storage media and deliver the same to the officer.

II. Items to be disclosed by Vultr from the account(s) associated with the following IP addresses during the specified timeframes:

- IP Address 95.179.244.201 (from June 14, 2021 19:33:03 UTC to June 13, 2022 05:55:55 UTC)
- IP Address 45.63.42.37 (from June 14, 2021 19:33:03 UTC to June 13, 2022 05:55:55 UTC)

1. All records associated with the aforementioned account(s) including:

- a) Names (including subscriber names, usernames, account names, and/or display names);
- b) Addresses (including any postal addresses and/or physical addresses);
- c) Telephone Numbers (including any telephone numbers assigned to or associated with the account(s));
- d) Session Logs (including session times and durations, Internet Protocol ("IP") addresses and any metadata or device information associated with such events);
- e) Event Logs (including access logs and event logs demonstrating specific user interactions and events);
- f) Service Information (including account type, length of service, account creation Internet Protocol ("IP") address and types of products and/or services utilized);
- g) Billing Information (including means and source of payment associated with the account(s), any associated credit card numbers, bank account numbers, or other payment instruments);

- h) Associated Account(s) (including accounts associated with the subject account by cookie, IP address, telephone number, advertising identifiers, device identifiers, and/or recovery identifiers);
- i) Associated Groups and Organizations (including records pertaining to any groups, organizations or other provider specific membership functionalities);
- j) Linked Applications and API Keys (including any provider specific or third party applications or integrations authorized to interact with the account and all API keys generated by the subject account(s));
- k) Single Sign On ("SSO") Information (including any Open Authorization ("OAuth") tokens, SSO tokens, or other provider specific tokens utilized by subject account(s) and all associated information and session data).

2. All contents and communications associated with the aforementioned account(s) including:

- a) Profile Content (including any user generated details and/or images associated with subject account(s));
- b) Notification Details (including type, timestamp, content and all other associated information);
- c) Contacts (including contact lists, friend lists, follower lists following lists, and any other provider specific lists of contacts or relationships between contacts or individuals);
- d) Files (including all images, videos, documents and other files contained within the account(s), including all associated cloud storage account(s), and all associated metadata and provider specific information);
- e) Communications (including any email messages, SMS messages, text messages, instant messages, comments, voice messages and any communications utilizing provider specific protocols and/or applications);
- f) Transferred / Shared Files (including any files sent, received, shared by or shared with the subject account(s));
- g) Provider Backups and/or Snapshots (including previous versions of account contents maintained as part of revision history or similar

- functionality, user deleted files retained by the provider, and all provider backups which contain account content(s));
 - h) Telemetry and/or Analytics Data (including data generated by devices or applications associated with the account(s));
 - i) Location History (including data generated by devices); and
 - j) Device Backups (including any additional information and metadata associated with such backup(s)).
3. All provider specific records, contents and communications associated with the aforementioned account(s) including:
- a) The contents of any Vultr account associated with the above account information, including dedicated servers, scalable cloud computing services, virtual private servers, custom cloud solutions and data center solutions, cloud computing for web applications and/or development environments, dedicated single-tenant non-virtualized computing hardware, cloud storage, object storage, block storage, dedicated cloud instances and cloud based load balancers, and any service request(s) information.
 - b) Any stored communications, transient records of communications, or associated messaging data associated with the above account information, including but not limited to, all digital, analogue, verbal, written, or visual communications.

III. The search of the data supplied by the ISP pursuant to this warrant will be conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as provided in the “Procedures for Electronically Stored Information” of the affidavit submitted in support of this search warrant, and the items subject to seizure by the government will be limited in time from **July 24, 2020, to the present** and be further limited to:

- a) Any and all records and information comprising, listing or containing the login names and passwords in any form;
- b) Any and all records and documents comprising identities of individuals, including names, social security numbers, dates of birth,

official state or government issued driver's licenses and/or identification numbers, alien registration numbers, government passport numbers, employer or taxpayer identification numbers, unique biometric data, such as fingerprint, voice print, retina or iris image, or other unique physical representation, unique electronic identification number, address, or routing code; or telecommunication identifying information or access device;

- c) Any and all items that would tend to identify persons who acted as facilitators or co-conspirators in acts of violations of Title 18 United States Code, Sections 1030, and 371, and related activity in connection with conspiracy and computer intrusions;
- d) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish unauthorized computer intrusions, unauthorized computer attacks, or unauthorized attempts to access a computer;
- e) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish the use of hacking tools, malicious files or software, or hacking methods and techniques that enable the user to gain unauthorized access to a computer;
- f) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish any payment for services or equipment used to establish, administer and/or operate a botnet;
- g) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish any payment for a botnet providing proxy services;
- h) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to discuss or establish the operation of the RSocks botnet and proxy service;
- i) Any and all communications, records, and attachments tending to identify or locate the user(s) of the subject accounts to be searched, as well as the users of electronic accounts and computers associated with the nicknames and names of any co-conspirators involved in the activities described in III(a)-(g) above;
- j) Any and all communications, records, and attachments that provide context to any communications, records, and attachments described above, such as electronic communications sent or received in temporal

proximity to any relevant electronic communications and any electronic communications tending to identify the user(s) of the accounts to be searched; and

- k) Data identifying other accounts linked to the subject account(s) by cookie values, SMS, Recovery, Android device, Apple device, other mobile device, secondary email, phone number, or IP address.

which are evidence of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1030 (unlawful access of a computer) and 371 (conspiracy).